

1 JONATHAN S. O'DONNELL (State Bar No. 100051)
 2 jon@mbvlaw.com
 3 PETE RYAN (State Bar No. 134291)
 4 peter@mbvlaw.com
 5 MBV LAW LLP
 6 855 Front Street
 7 San Francisco, California 94111
 Telephone: (415) 781-4400
 Facsimile: (415) 989-5143

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff CONCRETE POLISHING
 7 TECHNOLOGIES, INC. dba PERFECT
 POLISH, INC.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

MBV LAW LLP
101 Vallejo Street
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, for the
 use of CONCRETE POLISHING TECHNOLOGIES, INC. dba PERFECT POLISH,
 INC. and CONCRETE POLISHING TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

AMERICAN CASUALTY COMPANY OF
 READING, PENNSYLVANIA; CONTINENTAL CASUALTY COMPANY; NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSURANCE
 COMPANY OF PITTSBURGH, PA;
 DICK/MORGANTI, a joint venture; DICK CORPORATION, a Pennsylvania corporation;
 THE MORGANTI GROUP, INC., a Connecticut corporation;
 DICK/MORGANTI/NIBBI, a joint venture;
 and NIBBI BROS. INC., a California corporation,

Defendants.

CASE NO. CV 07-6129 (EMC)
 CASE NO. C-07-2564 (CRB)
 CASE NO. C-07-4180 (CRB)

NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF OTHER ACTIONS OR PROCEEDINGS [Civil Local Rule 3-13]

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-13, plaintiff Concrete Polishing Technologies, Inc. dba Perfect Polish, Inc. ("Perfect Polish") is aware of two related cases.

The first related case was filed in the United States District Court, Northern District of

1 California, San Francisco Division, and is entitled *United States of America for the Use and*
 2 *Benefit of Webcor Construction, Inc. dba Webcor Builders, et al v. Dick/Morganti, et al*, Case
 3 No. C-07-2564 (CRB). This case was filed on May 15, 2007 for breach of contract, enforcement
 4 of a Miller Act payment bond and quantum meruit. On information and belief, plaintiff Perfect
 5 Polish alleges that the first related case concerning the General Services Administration federal
 6 building located at the corner of Seventh and Mission Streets in San Francisco and is brought by
 7 Webcor, a subcontractor on said project, for failure of the general contractor, Dick/Morganti, to
 8 pay sums due and owing under Webcor's subcontract. The case is currently pending before the
 9 Honorable Charles R. Breyer, United States District Judge Presiding.

10 The second related case was filed in the United States District Court, Northern District of
 11 California, San Francisco Division, and is entitled *United States of America for the Use and*
 12 *Benefit of Performance Contracting, Inc. v. Dick/Morganti, et al*, Case No. C-07-4180 (CRB).
 13 This case was filed on August 15, 2007 for breach of contract, enforcement of a Miller Act pay-
 14 ment bond and unjust enrichment. On information and belief, plaintiff Perfect Polish alleges that
 15 the second related case concerns the General Services Administration federal building located at
 16 the corner of Seventh and Mission Streets in San Francisco and is brought by Performance Con-
 17 tracting, Inc., a subcontractor on said project, for failure of the general contractor, Dick/Morganti,
 18 to pay sums due and owing under Performance Contracting's subcontract. The case is currently
 19 pending before the Honorable Charles R. Breyer, United States District Judge Presiding.

20 The first and second related cases were consolidated by Stipulated and Order for Consoli-
 21 dation dated September 20, 2007.

22 Both the related cases and the instant case involve the General Services Administration
 23 building in San Francisco and are brought by subcontractors who worked on the project. All
 24 three cases also involve claims by subcontractors for nonpayment under their respective subcon-
 25 tracts against the general contractor and the sureties that provided the payment bond. The cases
 26 involve the same subject matter and substantially all of the same parties.

27 Perfect Polish alleges on information and belief that consolidating the instant case with
 28 the aforementioned two related cases, which have already been consolidated, before Judge Breyer

1 in Case No. C-07-2564 would avoid conflicts, conserve resources, and promote an efficient de-
2 termination of the actions.

3 Dated: December 4, 2007.

4 MBV LAW LLP
5
6 By s/JONATHAN S. O'DONNELL
7 JONATHAN S. O'DONNELL
8 Attorneys for Plaintiff CONCRETE POLISHING
9 TECHNOLOGIES, INC. dba PERFECT POLISH,
10 INC.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

MBV LAW LLP
855 FORTRESS
SAN FRANCISCO
CA 94111